

---

## An Insight into the Science of Art and the Art of Science

Ch. Satya Sri ([satya14sri@gmail.com](mailto:satya14sri@gmail.com))

Faculty of Science, Vishnu School (affiliated to CBSE), Bhimavaram, A.P. India

---

### Abstract

*The role of art and science in the evolution of man or human evolution on the world is significant. Art and science may be visible extremely opposed. Actually both have similar qualities; and the two need a great deal of imagination. It is helpful to make a structure where science is educated through perception, through art and inventiveness. Science and art are the actual idea of human endeavours to comprehend and portray our general surroundings.*

**Keywords:** Art of Science, Cognitive Functions, Science of Art, Theories of Knowledge

### Introduction

*“To develop a complete mind: Study the science of art; Study the art of science. Learn how to see. Realize that everything connects to everything else.” -Leonardo da Vinci.*

The present paper is aimed at highlighting the significance and need of science and art in the evolution of man or human evolution on the world as well as modernisation and cultural cultivation among the human beings. In the recent days students prefer to study academic degree courses of science related programs where the courses/programs of art based are given meagre importance; of course, students and parents think that the courses of science and technology are useful and essential for their career and livelihood.

In the present scenario, it is uncertain that Science and Arts, which one outsmarts the other is a timeless one. In case science is about truth, art is about narrative and discussions. In the event that Science dives into the world and beyond, Arts is tied in with looking inside. The majority of the students prefer to turn into a scientist, doctor or engineer; and thusly they must choose between limited options to pick science stream subsequent to high school education. Some students choose science subjects willingly; others have it pushed onto them and still others pick it since it is the trending stream.

Art and science may be visible extremely opposed. One includes the inventive progression of thoughts, and the other cold and difficult- or somewhere in the vicinity certain individuals accept. Actually both have similar qualities; and the two need a great deal of imagination. Individuals additionally utilize the two to more readily comprehend our general surroundings. A recent research discovers, art likewise can assist students with recollecting better what they realized in science class.

Mariale Hardiman is a professor in Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore; when she was a school head, she had seen that students who utilized art in the study hall were more involved. They may listen all the more eagerly. They may pose more inquiries. They may express more thoughts. Furthermore, students appeared to recollect a greater amount of what they had been shown when their illustrations had engaged art. Be that as it may, Hardiman realized the best method to test whether and how good art may truly further develop learning was to test it with an examination.

In a conventional science class, for example, students may peruse out loud from a book. In the art-oriented class, they may now sing or rap the data all things being equal. Another model, conventional science classes regularly use diagrams and charts. The art study halls rather had students make arrangements or collections and different kinds of art. Everybody would get a similar data — simply learn it in various manners.

Indeed, establishing art as a way to concentrate on science would not just permit students to comprehend the nucleus of science is inventiveness; however it will likewise permit them to more readily learn logical ideas and rules. As a rule, the capacity to envision and imagine certain procedures is critical to taking care of logical issues. For instance a notable issue to each and every individual who has taken a secondary school material science course is the issue including the direction of a ball when it is tossed. To explain this basic issue, researchers draw the direction of the ball. The drawing makes it simpler to resolve the issue on the grounds that at different snapshots of existence there are sure parts of the laws of material science that make the issue truly comprehensible. When one gets further developed, exactly the same thing applies. Now-a-days, social order so cutting-edge with a number of technology-based instruments available; it is helpful to make a structure where science is educated through perception, through art and inventiveness.

### Art and Science: Similar Cognitive Functions

In science instruction art is generally seen as abstract and passionate rather than science, which is viewed as an aim and intellectual undertaking (for example, Gardner, 1971; Strike and Posner, 1992). In any case, a few researchers have caused to notice the way that art looks similar to science, implying that qualities and feelings are implanted in art as well as in science and in the science study hall, and are significant viewpoints to consider while considering the way that learning takes (for example Blossom, 1992a, b ; Jakobson and Wickman 2008 ; Wickman, 2006). This is in opposition to the thoughts of certain scientists who approach feelings just as a persuasive drive or an issue of mentalities (for example Novak, 2002 ; Posner, Strike, Hewson, and Gertzog, 1982 ; Strike and Posner, 1992). Goodman (1969) keeps up with that the contrast among art and science is only a question of the images utilized, and that the two functions are cognitive. In accordance with this, numerous researchers underline that science and art ought not be seen as various endeavours, however as being viable (for example, Fischer, 1999 ; Root-Bernstein, 1991, 1996 ; Watts, 2001 ; Weisskopf, 1979 ; Vickers, 1988).

Albeit numerous researchers highlight the importance of art in science instruction a couple of observational studies identify with the utilization of art exercises in the science class. Weigand (1985) analyzed the job of art in secondary school science and infers that scientific and artistic methods of investigation upgrade and equilibrium one another; something likewise contended by Laverne Nelson, Martin and Baldwin (1998). Besides, some exact studies show that art exercises improve kids' perception abilities (for example, Gainer and Child, 1986; Hayes, Symington, and Martin, 1994; Laverne Nelson, Martin et al., 1998).

### Similarities and Differences

- The incredible artists and scientists from the beginning of time share the normal characteristic of inquisitiveness.
- Artists and Scientists view the world with a “thirst for knowledge” and endeavour to appreciate how it functions.
- Scientists thoroughly test their speculations, whereas arts convey their perspective in an actual piece of art.
- The two, artists and scientists pass through a comparative way of starting free idea, until they reach the destination to take action; and in the mean while a scientist adheres to a particular arrangement of principles and thorough testing to get to their objective of comprehension.
- The artist, in contrast, follows an alternate way where the principles either do not exist or are basically disregarded, and expression turns into a definitive type of comprehension.

- Observer may look at the areas of art and science, and send them away as entirely different; there is a focal association that joins them together.
- Scientists set a serious focus on target estimation to outline the material world, while arts prefer to concentrate on the more preoccupied characteristics of emotionality and articulation.
- The focal distinction between science and art diminishes to the cutting edge disposition to rules and structure.

In spite of being displayed as altogether different in mainstream background, artists and scientists have a number of similar traits. Notwithstanding a staggering interest, scientists and artists additionally share the attribute of imagination. While they may each have an alternate innovative strategy, the kernel of an imaginative thought originates from a similar spot.

3

### Theories of Knowledge

“Theory of knowledge” is a scholastic term used to depict the various philosophies at work just about knowledge. To be specific, it focuses on frameworks of information or knowledge – how and why it is utilized.

The term *science* derives from the Latin "*scientia*"; it signifies "*knowledge*". All things considered, science as a hypothesis of knowledge approaches it as far as attainment. At some point of time it moves and changes its strategies and thoughts, it is accomplished to get more noteworthy information. In contrast, the word *art* originates from the Latin "*ars*", signifying "*ability or skill*". The specialized ability of an artist is about the utilization of knowledge to highlight human articulation.

One could briefly sum up the differentiation between scientists and artists as one of techniques. Scientists utilize all that they can to get information and use what they accumulate to additional that objective significantly more. Artists, in contrast, are more relevant about the genuine articulation of information. The entire knowledge of art gains returns into forming better approaches for articulation.

Obviously, despite the fact that they stand particular from one another in their utilization of information, the two areas of science and art go beyond greatly. This fixation on information from two distinct ends frequently implies that artists and scientists point of view is more indistinguishable than one may imagine.

Consequently, it may help artists and scientists, just as the whole world, if a portion of the thought hindrances set up between arts and the sciences came disintegrating down. All things considered their simply various parts of a similar tree.

### Conclusion

In a world isolated, it has turned into a typical conviction that the enormous contrast between science and art implies that these two opposite ends are not to be blended with whatever else. Science and art are the actual idea of human endeavours to comprehend and portray our general surroundings. However these subjects and techniques have various customs, and the target groups are unique, in numerous incidents, their essential inspirations and objectives are in a general sense of something similar.

In summing up, it is by all accounts that the foremost artists and scientists on the planet do not actually distinguish the lines of work. The hypothesis is that as art and science arrives from a similar spot at first, it is the scholarly pathway, unnaturally made in present days, which have made them deviate in the personalities of modern culture.

## References

Bloom, J. D. (1992). Contexts of meaning and conceptual integration : How children understand and learn. In R. A. Duschl & R. J. Hamilton (Eds.), *Philosophy of science, cognitive psychology and educational theory and practice*. (pp. 177-194). Albany : State University of New York Press.

Bloom, J. D. (1992). The development of scientific knowledge in elementary school children : A context of meaning perspective. *Science Education*, 76, 399-413. DOI : [10.1002/sce.3730760405](https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.3730760405)

Fischer, E. P. (1999). *Beauty and the beast. The aesthetic moment in science*. New York : Plenum Publishing Corporation.

Gardner, H. (1971). Problem-solving in the arts and sciences. *Journal of Aesthetic Education*, 5(1), 93-113. DOI : [10.2307/3331579](https://doi.org/10.2307/3331579)

Jakobson, B., & Wickman, P.-O. (2007). Transformation through language use : Children's spontaneous metaphors in elementary school science. *Science & Education*, 16, 267-289. DOI : [10.1007/s11191-006-9018-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-006-9018-x)

Jakobson, B., & Wickman, P.-O. (2008). The roles of aesthetic experience in elementary school science. *Research in Science Education*, 38, 45-65. DOI : [10.1007/s11165-007-9039-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-007-9039-8)

Jakobson, B., & Wickman, P.-O. (manuscript). What difference does art make in science? A comparative study of elementary school children. Unpublished manuscript.

Laverne Nelson, P. L., Martin, S. S., & Baldwin, V. G. (1998). Drawing skills and science concepts in young children : A study of relationships. *Studies in Art Education*, 39, 262-269.

Weigand, H. (1985). From science into art. *Art Education*, November 1985, 18-21. DOI : [10.2307/3192873](https://doi.org/10.2307/3192873)

<https://www.sciencenewsforstudents.org/article/art-can-make-science-easier-remember>

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s42330-019-00057-7>

<https://www.indiaeducation.net/career-guide/class-10/science-vs-arts.aspx>

<https://journals.openedition.org/educationdidactique/396>

<https://www.goodreads.com/quotes/1423493-to-develop-a-complete-mind-study-the-science-of-art>

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/annapowers/2020/07/31/why-art-is-vital-to-the-study-of-science/?sh=5f489c6b42eb>

[Mariale Hardiman | Faculty Experts | Hub \(jhu.edu\)](https://marialehardiman.com/faculty-experts-hub/jhu.edu)

<https://artistryfound.com/artists-vs-scientists-similarities-differences/>

<https://www.artworkabode.com/blog/when-art-meets-science/>

<https://artsintegration.com/what-is-steam-education-in-k-12-schools/>

<https://www.steamtruck.org/blog/steam-education-history-importance>